To: Jan Lubin  
From: Frank Palacat  
Subject: PBC Results

The results of the Planning and Budget Council (PBC) survey can be found on the WCC Planning and Budget Council webpage under Assessment Surveys.  

The 2012-2013 PBC survey is divided into 4 sections. The first section, question 1 and 2, assessed members’ perceptions of how the PBC fulfilled its stated goals of ensuring that the College is best positioned to:

1. Utilize and/or leverage the College’s strengths;
2. Strengthen the College’s areas of weakness;
3. Take advantage of new opportunities; and,
4. Monitor and respond to external complexities.

The results of this section range from 3.50 [3.77]* (Agree) to 4.07 (Strongly Agree). The overall average (3.86 [3.92]*) for this section indicate the members of the PBC agree that the PBC is ensuring that the College is best positioned to meet its goals. It is noted that 1 member indicated that they “did not know” if the PBC has strengthen the College’s area of weakness. This was left in the calculation, as it is important to recognize this answer with the others. A recalculation without this scored did not significantly alter the results (3.50 – 3.77).

Section 2 of the Survey, questions 3 and 4, assessed members’ perception of how the PBC fulfilled its stated mission to

1. Review, evaluate, and update the College Strategic Plan, at least once a year;
2. Prioritize strategic actions based on program reviews, annual reports, and summary reports from the deans and directors; and,
3. Review, evaluate, prioritize, and make recommendations to the Chancellor regarding the use of resources in the College budget, and regarding resource requests for future funding.
The results of this section range from 2.21 [2.82]* (disagree) to 4.07 (strongly agree). Three individuals indicated, “I don't know” in regards to “1. Reviewed, evaluated, and updated the College Strategic Plan, at least once this year.” The overall average for this section is 3.43 (3.58)* indicating that members of the PBC agree that the PBC is fulfilling its mission.

Section 3, questions 5 and 6, assessed the members perception of their participation and the PBC process. Scores ranged from 2.79 (disagree) to 4.21 (strongly agree). The items that scored in the disagree range (2.00 to 2.99) were

1. The final allocation of funds followed the recommendations of the committee.
2. Training on the process was provided to members early in the Academic year.
3. The overall PBC process was efficient.

In looking at these individual items, items 1 & 2 have more disagree and strongly agree than its counter part. Item 3 had the same amount of responses for both disagree and strongly agree and agree and strongly agree.

Section 4, question 7 and 8, assessed the members perception of how the PBC addressed the previous recommendations from the 2010-2011 survey. The results of ranged from 2.43 (disagree) to 3.14 (agree). Items that score in the disagree range are

1. The proposal review process was restructured to be more efficient and streamlined.
2. Training or an information sheet (what needed to be included on the PBC form) was provided to the committee members prior to filling out the PBC form.

**Recommendation:**
## Planning and Budget Council 2012-2013 Survey Results

### Section 1: Question 1 & 2

**Question 1:** The PBC has:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>I don't know</th>
<th>Rating Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Utilized and/or leveraged the College’s strengths;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Strengthened the College’s areas of weakness;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.50 (3.77)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Taken advantage of new opportunities;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Monitored and responded to external complexities.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Overall Average = 3.86 (3.92)*

**Question 2:** No Comments were provided for this section

*Result without “I don't know” score is found in parenthesis

### Section 2: Question 3 & 4

**The PBC has:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Options</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
<th>I don't know</th>
<th>Rating Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Reviewed, evaluated, and updated the College Strategic Plan, at least once this year;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.21 (2.82)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Prioritized strategic actions based on program reviews, annual reports, and summary reports from the deans and directors;</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Reviewed, evaluated, prioritized, and made recommendations to the Chancellor regarding the USE OF RESOURCES IN THE COLLEGE BUDGET.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Reviewed, evaluated, prioritized, and made recommendations to the Chancellor regarding RESOURCE REQUESTS FOR FUTURE FUNDING.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Overall Average = 3.43 (3.58)*

**Question 4:**

1. The process of resource allocation is flawed with some departments having more voting power.

*Result without “I don't know” score is found in parenthesis