WCC Faculty Senate Meeting
December 4, 2006
Palanakila Conference Room

Present: Paul Briggs (Recording Chair), Letty Colmenares, Sarah Hadmack, Ron Loo (Presiding Chair), Floyd McCoy (Off-Campus Chair), Toshi Ikagawa, Mike Tom, Emi Troeger, Mary Segura. Javen Robinson, Winston Kong

1. Approval of Minutes
   a. Minutes accepted by acclamation.

2. Council of Faculty Senate Report
   a. Floyd McCoy sent out a report to the Faculty Senate last week.
   b. The text of the report is included as an addendum to the minutes.

3. Committee Reports
   a. CAAC
      i. Approved the new course proposal for BUSN 50-Introduction to Computer Skills (2 credits)
      ii. Approved the modification in course number, title, prerequisites, and course description for PHIL 200-History of Philosophy I (3 credits) to PHIL 211-Ancient Philosophy so that it conforms with the same course offered at UH Manoa and Honolulu Community College.
      iii. Course proposals accepted by the Faculty Senate.

4. Old Business
   a. Service on subcommittee to look at overload
      i. Letty Colmenares Emi Troeger, Ron Loo, and Mari Nakamura will serve on this committee.
   b. Vote on Establishment of Foundations Board at WCC-Issue from AY 2005-2006
      i. Moved by Floyd McCoy, Seconded by Mary Segura.
      ii. The WCC Faculty Senate voted to approve this measure
          1. 8 people voted yes with one abstention.
      iii. The Foundations Board will report to the CAAC of the Faculty Senate.
   c. Vote on one of models for adding students to classes with instructor approval during the second week of classes.
      i. Two Models
         1. Status quo-approval by Dean of students
         2. Instructor has prerogative to approve students during the second week of class
      ii. Motion: To permit faculty to approve registration for students through the end of the second week of classes. (Option 2).
1. Moved by Sarah Hadmack, seconded by Floyd McCoy.
2. The WCC Faculty Senate voted not to approve this measure
   a. 4 people voted to approve, 5 people voted not to approve the measure

d. Faculty Schedule Survey-Toshi Ikagawa
   i. 64% preferred MW classes, a majority of faculty members.
   ii. David Cleveland will come Spring 07 semester to explain the results and the ramifications of the schedule change to the WCC Faculty Senate.

5. New Business
   a. Vote on support for flexible transfer criteria involving GPA and earned credit hours for transfer of CC students to UHM-John Morton
      i. Moved by Floyd McCoy, seconded by Letty Colmenares
      ii. The WCC Faculty unanimously to approve this measure.
   b. Establishing a HAP Board with UH Manoa
      i. A board comprised of different representatives from the CCs and UH Manoa.
      ii. This board will discuss a multicampus approach to Hawaiian, Asian and Pacific course for articulation agreement covering HAP focus courses.
      iii. Floyd McCoy and Liko Hoe will serve on the HAP Board.
      iv. The HAP Board will report to the CAAC of the Faculty Senate.
   c. Meetings Dates for Spring 2007
      i. Jan. 10 (W)- First Meeting
      ii. Feb 07 (W)
      iii. Sarah Hadmack has volunteered to make out a scheduling grid for all of the Faculty Senate members for Spring 07.
         1. Meetings will be on Wednesdays at 12:30 pm, once a month.
         2. Sometimes there could be meetings twice a month.
            a. Perhaps February and March.
   d. Status of Phil Hagstrom Scholarship Fund-Floyd McCoy
      i. Floyd McCoy will pass out the resolution to the Faculty Senate members for approval.
   e. Aloha Fund
      i. Jean Shibuya will ask $5 from WCC Faculty and Staff for the Aloha Fund.
   f. Faculty Reclassification-Use of SLOs for promotion
      i. Idea from John Morton, not a lot of enthusiasm from the UHCC faculty, has to go to the UH Manoa Faculty Senate.
      ii. Floyd McCoy will keep the WCC Faculty Senate apprised of this
6. **Adjournment**
   a. Meeting adjourned at 1:30
   Respectfully submitted by Paul Briggs-Recording Chair.

Here is Floyd McCoy’s Off-Campus Report

**Notes from BOR, ACCFSC and CCFSC Meetings**
**MauiCC, Nov. 16, 17, 2006**

**BOR (Meeting with ACCFSC)**

**Response to passage of State Charter Amendment #3** - This establishes a panel for providing the Governor with names for his/her appointments to the BOR; there is urgency in suggesting an organization of such a panel because the enabling legislation will be written and considered by the end of the 2007 legislature in May; drafts will be sent to the ACCFSC (and thus circulated to System faculty) for comment; among thoughts being considered (and we await details on some of these): following the Virginia model; not following the Minnesota model; longer terms for Regents; compensation for Regents; increasing the number of Regents; and perhaps following the format used by the State Judicial Panel as the best example.

**P-20 Initiative** - [the following is from notes taken by ACCFSC Pres. Tiles] “We discussed with the Board the disquiet many of us feel with the approach the President’s office has taken to presenting the need for higher education in the State and the means by which success at this would be measured. We were concerned that in the presentations we had heard from Linda Johnsrud, listeners were being invited to understand “the State’s educational capital” in terms of “work-force development”. As “educational capital” had also been glossed as “educated citizens.” We spend some time discussing what it might mean to be turning out “educated citizens” in a sense stronger than people who were both legally citizens and had some education. (In an attributive use of “educated”, an “education citizen” would have some cognitive skills or dispositions relevant to carrying out the responsibilities of a citizen, e.g. the abilities to assess policies critically and to engage effectively in the deliberation that is required for democratic governance.) This “philosophic discussion” reached no firm or definite conclusions, but members of the BoR claimed to have enjoyed and profited from it.”

**Concern with potential costs of the UHWO campus** – Concern expressed about just how the System might afford the expansion of UHWO into a four-year operation; that concern was neatly handled with the presentation by the UHWO Chancellor at the open meeting of the BOR (see below).

**Organization of these meetings in the future** - This meeting was the result of a year-long effort to establish regular meetings between the Regents and ACCFSC on an informal and open basis; future meetings will also involve interested faculty from the host campus.

**BOR (Open Public Meeting)**

**Report by the President** -
Earthquake damage, latest account, is $2.5 m with significant damage to Mauna Kea and Haleakala facilities, $500,000 to Sinclair Hall, and $600,000 to the Architecture Bldg.

The ewaste drive was amazingly successful/productive = 45 shipping containers were filled with ewaste (= 25,000 filled garbage cans).

Announced the Centennial Campaign scholarships (which received much good publicity in the local press).

Devolution continues with the following System reorganization: ITS will remain a System-level office with David Lassner promoted to VP Information Services, a new administrative position; research will be a UHM-managed office, with, for example, ORS coming under a new Vice Chancellor for Research
position, and the VP for Research at the System level abolished (97% of research is at UHM; as UHH research expands it is expected that that campus might establish its own VP position and ORS office); an Assoc. VP position reporting the VPAPP will be established for Student Affairs, and the current (vacant) VP for Student Affairs abolished.

Discussion concerning the dual reporting line by CC Chancellors to the President, this triggered by comments from ACCJC; from all accounts it is working fine and will remain in effect.

**UHCC’s** - Approved the long-range development plan for MCC = $400 m plan that expects an enrollment of perhaps 3000 students in a decade (for more details see current UH press releases).

**UHWO** - Approved the establishment of a four-year curriculum, following the original charter and schedule for this campus as conceived years ago; the first freshman class of 100 students will enter AY 2007-2008, with 16 new courses/semester; this enrollment will expand to 150 students in two years; it is expected that these will be new students from the community and not students from LCC or UHM (or any other campuses); projected expenses for this are $952,000 for year 1 and $1.3 m for year 2, however by 2010 it is projected that UHWO will have a *financial surplus that would contribute money into the UH System* thanks to its unique private-public arrangement for land management, a stunning revelation (!); as this campus builds it is most interested in seeing close ties and arrangements with the CC's, and may be looking for adjunct faculty and facilities before their new campus is built (in 3 years).

UHM faculty senate spoke in opposition to this – their text follows; their resolution is attached below:

“On behalf of the Senate I respectfully request that you have written answers to the Senate's request for information on the following five aspects of the West O'ahu development transmitted to the Senate's Executive Committee as soon as possible within the next 30 days.

1. the timetable for development of the UHWO campus,
2. enrollment figures for prospective students attending UHWO including estimates of in-state versus out-of-state students, transfers from within the UH system by campus; and a breakdown by major as to expected enrollments;
3. projected enrollments for Hawaii high school students, by county and high school; as well as projected enrollments to each University of Hawaii campus by in-state and out-of-state status for the next 15 years;
4. estimated capital costs and annual operating and maintenance costs and expected sources of revenue for the UHWO campus;
5. the impact of the creation of the UHWO campus on the University of Hawaii at Manoa and other campuses in the system, including the impacts on enrollments, transfers, finances, student aid, tuition, and higher education in Hawaii; and be it further

Faculty Senators who have heard that information on these matters is readily available in the public domain are, as I anticipated, deeply sceptical of this claim. The best way to deal with this scepticism is to respond to the Senate's request in full with ample supplements (such as details of any models used by your office to answer them) and to provide references to the sources that the Senate has overlooked or been unable to locate. We will, as scholars should, be grateful for any remedy you can provide for our ignorance.”

**UHH** - Approved the curriculum for a Doctorate of Pharmacy Program, in the College of Pharmacy.

**UHM** - Approved an increase in the Campus Center Operations and Recreation Fee, this to fund a complete renovation and upgrade of that facility; UHM faculty senate passed a resolution, presented to the BOR, in opposition to this because of concerns that such a fee could impact other fee schedules (such as the technology fee), and that a coordinated plan on fees is needed.
UH System - With the delegation of many decisions to the President, and a general diminishment of System difficulties that need the attention of the Regents, the BOR will instigate a new schedule for their meetings such that System business will be conducted every other month, and the alternate months where business would not be handled will become workshops for specific issues/problems/concerns; locations for meetings/workshops will continue to follow the existing rotation among campuses.

The location of the next BOR meeting has been changed to UHM in honor of the UH centennial.

Move of System offices from Bachman to an off-campus site was discussed in Executive Session, and although no announcement of this move has been made it appears that such a move is pending and that negotiations are in progress for office space somewhere in Honolulu.

ACCFSC

Distributed Learning Committee Report - CAFÉ is recommended for System wide adoption as an online evaluation program of courses by students. During spring, 2007, there will be a survey on ITS services.

University Council on Articulation Report - Focus is now for all course alpha-numeric designations to be standardized on all campuses, the best method for seeing this accomplished by getting disciplines together from all campuses to hammer out agreements – funds for this are under discussion.

Budget Committee Report - no report.

Banner Committee Report - no report.

Best Practices Committee Report - Surveys of how campus/discipline faculty senates operate continues – it was noted that some faculty senates have administrators participate in meetings and find this maximizes communication and allows shared governance; at MCC, APT’s and staff participate. Preliminary results on the first survey are attached.

CCCFSC Report - Discussion of proposed new transfer policy from CC’s to UHM by VPCC John Morton – noted that this proposal has yet to be taken to faculty senates at UHM, KauCC, HawCC and HonCC.

P – 20 Initiative - In response to a request on progress with the P-20 initiative, and the reorganization of that office, the attached was provided by VPAPP Johnsrud.

New business - Included shared experiences on adopting GenEd Hallmarks; concern about a System VP for Students (not done, see comments above on System reorganization in President’s report to the BOR); and discussion on use and misuse of listservs with a request to ITS head Lassner for a list of existing policies; and discussion of UHPA and faculty senate relationships.

CCCFSC

ACJC visit - Experiences of the 10/06 comprehensive evaluation visit were all positive, and it appears the consequences of that visit may be equally positive.

BOR CC Committee - Noted that the current arrangements for these meetings are unsatisfactory because they do not allow for open and informal exchange between the committee members and CC senators; that a
better arrangement might be to follow the BOR practice of open meetings with faculty and students on CC campuses perhaps in connection with BOR meetings or at other times – the concern will be brought to the attention of the BOR CC Committee (also noted that existing formalities are dictated by legislative legalities).

**SLO’s and Faculty Classification** - Continued concern on the use of SLO’s for faculty evaluation, particularly on how the success or non-success of qualitative SLO’s might be quantitatively measured; VPCC Morton has scheduled meetings with UHPA, faculty leaders, SLO campus leaders, and administrators to discuss this and attempt to reach agreements on how these might be applied.