Minutes

WCC Faculty Senate Meeting

Nov. 06, 2006

12:40  Palanakila Conference Room

1. Approval of Minutes 10-16-06
   a. Minutes are approved with the following corrections
      i. Humanities Motion regarding Student adding a course
         should read-
         1. The humanities department would like to grant
            students the ability to add a course two weeks after
            the add deadline if the student has received
            instructor approval.

2. Council of Faculty Senate Report
   a. Not done due to the John Morton presentation.

3. Committee Reports
   a. Not done due to the John Morton presentation

4. Old Business
   a. Faculty representation on campus Strategic Planning Committee
      i. Ron Loo gave out the original email from Angela Meixell
         to solicit names for the Strategic Planning Committee.
      ii. How is the membership to be selected for this committee?
          1. Adminstrative top heavy?
          2. Student representation
          3. This committee will be a very large committee.
      iii. Take this back to our departments for comment and
           possible motions.
   b. Adding students to classes with instructor approval - Sarah
      Hadmack
      i. Report from the Current Dean of Students
         1. Handout of Current Dates for Registrations
         2. Exceptions
            a. Deployment
            b. Extenuating Circumstances
            c. The Institution erred.
         3. Requests for exemptions
            a. Student talks to instructor and gives student
               permission to add late.
            b. Student fills out Special Request form.
            c. Dean applies exemption rules and renders a
               decision.
4. Plenty of reasons not to have a student add a class after the deadline.
   a. Poor academic success.
   b. Liability Issues
   c. Faculty in a compromising position, which could allow for discrimination among students and equity issues.
   d. Possible reward for student who makes the most noise, in this case adding a course after the deadline.

ii. Report from Russell Chan-Capacity Override.
   1. Once an instructor puts in a capacity override that override is good for the life of the course.
      a. Not 24 hours as was previously believed.
      b. Many faculty have believed that 24 hours was the rule, but it is not the rule.
   2. But the capacity override is not good once the add period has passed for the course.
   3. Need to run a Banner list more than once to make sure about any purges that may have been done.
      a. Into the second week perhaps.
      b. Faculty need to have students pay for the courses to avoid these purging problems.
         i. Need to be mindful of deadlines.
   4. How about a Banner list that only has students who have paid for the course.
      a. Could be financial aid issues.

iii. Take this back to our departments for comment and possible motions.

c. WCC Foundations Board Meeting - To be held either on Nov. 13 (M) or Nov. 15 (W)

5. New Business
   a. Flexible transfer criteria involving GPA and earned credit hours for transfer of CC students to UHM - John Morton
      i. From idea to proposal stage.
      ii. How do we move more students from the CC to the BA level at UH Manoa.
         1. CC retention rate at UH Manoa is pretty high.
            a. Highest GPA relative to “native” UH Manoa students.
      iii. Current transfer status
         1. 24 credits and a 2.0 GPA from CC to UH Manoa.
         2. Very low standard for transfer.
      iv. Still some problems with transfer issues-More process oriented.
         1. Registration in August.
2. Most make the UH Manoa campus the home institution.

v. New Proposal from John Morton

1. Transfer Criteria from CC to UH Manoa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Credits</th>
<th>GPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24-35 CREDITS</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32-36 CREDITS</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 and above CREDITS</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. In return, would like UH Manoa to let CC students enroll in UH Manoa in May as opposed to August.
3. Also will let CC students keep home institution while taking courses at UH Manoa.
4. Also would like CC students to be available for UH Manoa scholarships given the CC GPA.
5. Recognize the work done at the CC level to count towards their Manoa academic standing.
7. In general want to smooth the process of transfer from the CC level to UH Manoa.
   a. Should not force the students into UH Manoa and make the CC student change immediately to UH Manoa status.
   b. Willing to accept higher admissions standards for these process changes.

b. What does John Morton want from the Faculty Senate?
   i. Perhaps a motion to accept this proposal but mostly just to listen and to make comments about this new proposal.
      1. Response from the WCC Faculty Senate and the faculty in general.
      2. Ultimately this proposal would need to pass the UH Manoa Faculty Senate.
   ii. UH Manoa Faculty Senate has not taken this issue up yet, only the CC campuses have been exposed to this proposal
   c. Btu lots of details would need to be worked out.
   d. What John Morton envisions is that a student would be able to see on Banner how much would need to be done to become Manoa eligible.
      i. Banner could perhaps calculate a Manoa GPA.
      ii. This only applies to UHCC credits not credits from other institutions that the students may have gone to.
      iii. Only applies to UH Manoa itself, and not the individual colleges such as the College of Business.
1. They would still have their separate admissions policy.

e. Faculty Concerns
   i. Would CC students just take courses for the easy grade?
   ii. Would GPA at one CC be the same to another CC institution?
      1. Would depend on the ultimate GPA at UH Manoa
   iii. Would there be that many more UH Manoa students here at the CC level?
      1. May depend on the financing at UH Manoa.

f. Student Services Recommended Policy Change Regarding Students on Unsatisfactory Academic Progress - Rene Arakaki
   i. Handout showing current policy and proposed changes.
      1. Would like to remove the credit criteria for Academic Probation
      2. Would also like to have Academic Probation action taken based on Student Semester GPA as opposed to Student Cumulative GPA.
      3. Adopt additional action categories on Banner which include:
         a. Accepted on Probation
         b. Probation continued
         c. Probation after Suspension
         d. Probation after Dismissal
         e. Removed from Probation.
   ii. Faculty representatives should take this back to the departments for discussion

6. Adjournment at 1:55 pm
   a. Next Meeting on November 20 at 12:40 pm in Palanikila 117.