Aesthetics Committee Meeting  
February 24, 2012 — Friday at 1pm  
‘Imiloa Room 122

Members Present: Charles Whitten, Peggy Regentine, Lillian Cunningham, Tom Holowach, Kayleen Sur, Joe Ciotti, Nancy Heu, Toni Martin, Floyd McCoy, Roy Fujimoto and Paul Nash

Topics:

1. **Relationship between MaPSAC and the Aesthetics Committee.**

   The synchronization between MAPSAC and the Aesthetics Committee was again mentioned and Chair Nash stated that Chancellor Dykstra is reviewing this coordination. A suggestion was made for the Aesthetics Chair to be an *ex officio* member of MaPSAC. This position would be a voting member of the MaPSAC committee (Roberts’ Rules of Order). It was also suggested that the MaPSAC Chair could attend or be an *ex officio* member part of the Aesthetics Committee.

   **Motion:** Charles Whitten moved that the Chair of MaPSAC and the Chair of the Aesthetics Committee should be *ex officio* members of each other’s committee with voting rights. Seconded by Joe Ciotti.

   **Discussion:** The Aesthetics Committee members feel that the chair of MaPSAC should be attending the Aesthetics Committee meetings so we have a better dialogue and understanding of what the needs are on our campus. This would also apply to the Aesthetics Chair attending the MaPSAC meetings. Even through we are creating a Flow Chart for the review process of projects, the Aesthetics Committee feels it’s important that the two Chairs communicate as much as possible when a project is in process.

   **Vote:** The Aesthetics Committee unanimously approved the motion.

2. **Proper Access to Buildings.**

   Floyd McCoy noted that once all the construction fences are removed, the access from the upper portion of the grassy area that sits between the new Library Learning Commons and Palanakila,
‘Imiloa and Hokulani will be extremely limited. Since the walkway winding its way down the slope is designed for the handicapped, it will have railings that will prevent access to this grassy area. Pedestrians using this walkway, who desire to access the grassy area to reach for instance the proposed amphitheater, would need to climb over the railings or squeeze through narrow gaps between the railings and cement benches—which would hardly be easy or beckoning to do.

The temporary wooden steps over the concrete wall at Palanakila presumably are just that, temporary, and will be removed.

Thus, this grassy area will only have a narrow opening at the top of the slope, which leads off of the circular driveway. Otherwise, walls and railings surrounding the entire upper area will prevent ready access to the grassy area. Is there some way to correct this while construction is still in progress? Is this a problem?

**ACTION:** The committee tabled this concern until all the construction fences are down and we can then see how the students are moving from building to building. There is also a safety concern that the pathway between Palanakila and Hokulani that leads to the parking lot is very narrow and potentially dangerous. We recommend that a sidewalk be installed between these two buildings.

3. **Survey of Proposed Projects on Campus from Chancellor Doug Dykstra.**

Chancellor Dykstra asked the Aesthetics Committee to rank the PBC proposed projects that were circulated at the 2/10/2012 PBC meeting. The proposed projects were divided into 3 categories:
- Beautification (possibly $25,000 set aside for this)
- Improvement (CIP money; money that is partial Federal money and should be “shovel-ready”)
- Repair and Maintenance (R&M)

- Comments were made regarding the following:
  - lack of sidewalks on campus
  - future walking access to the new library
  - Center campus access from the Palanakila area (students have to walk through the building to enter the center of the campus)
  - Palanakila court yard (Student Council did not have money to fund tiling this area)
  - Consensus to keep campus railings a dark green
• Safety issues with pedestrians walking down steep grades should be considered
• State Hospital patients walking on unsafe areas is a concern
• Long range need to install more solar panels to provide electricity."

The Aesthetics Committee ranked the proposed projects as follows:

Category 1. Beautification:
1. Clean the red ceramic tile roofs.
2. Repaint exterior of Kuhina, Alakai and Manaopono.
3. Hardscape Palanakila courtyard.
5. Landscape Alaka‘i front garden area doesn’t seem to exist.
6. Landscape ‘Imiloa courtyard doesn’t seem to exist.
   Numbers 5 and 6 are concerns because a strategy for landscape maintenance doesn’t seem to exist on our campus.
7. Reroof buildings with clay tiles when roofing becomes necessary: No‘eau, La‘akea, and Mana‘opono.

Category 2. Improvements:
2. Create amphitheater between the new Library Learning Commons and Hokulani Imaginarium.
3. Redo main campus sign with larger Windward Community College letters.
4. Upgrade Uluwehi shade house and provide modular classroom.
5. Set up ‘Imiloa basement for storage.
6. Cover over ‘Akoakoa courtyard.
8. Edible/medicinal landscaping on campus.
10. Noise dampening in Palanakila hallways. Designs for improving the acoustical concerns should be assigned to an architectural consultant.

Category 3. Repair and Maintenance:
2. Fix room number signs in ‘Imiloa.
4. Replace scratched mirrors in restrooms.

RECOMMENDATION: The Aesthetics Committee is recommending that all railings be a dark green. Right now the railing are different colors, including white, brown, silver and green.

Meeting started at 1:05 pm.
Meeting ended at 2:07 pm.

Next meeting is April 27 at 1 pm. ‘Imiloa 122

Minutes taken by Peggy Regentine and Paul Nash

Paul Nash
Aesthetics Committee Chair